- (2) The costs are necessary and reasonable in relation to the project objectives and scope.
- Institutional commitment. points). The Secretary reviews each application to determine the extent to which the application demonstrates a financial commitment on the part of the applicant and the local governments associated with its application, including the nature and amount of the matching contribution, and other institutional commitments from the applicant and other entities associated with the project, that are likely to assure the continuation of project activities for a significant time beyond the grant project period.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1136b, 1136e)

### § 636.22 What additional factors does the Secretary consider?

(a) The Secretary awards grants in a manner that achieves an equitable geographic distribution of grants.

(b) No institution, individually or as a participant in a consortium of institutions, may receive an Urban Community Service Program grant for more than five years.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1136e)

### § 636.23 What priorities does the Secretary establish?

In awarding grants, the Secretary gives an absolute preference to applicants that propose to conduct joint projects supported by other local, State, and Federal programs.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1136b)

#### Subpart D—How Does the Secretary Designate Urban Grant Institutions and Establish an Urban Grant Institutions Network?

## § 636.30 How does the Secretary designate urban grant institutions?

- (a) The Secretary identifies and designates the eligible institutions described in §636.2 as urban grant institutions.
- (b) The Secretary publishes a list of urban grant institutions in a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1136f)

# § 636.31 How does the Secretary establish a network of urban grant institutions?

(a) The Secretary establishes a network of urban grant institutions consisting of the urban grant institutions designated in § 636.30.

(b) The Secretary invites institutions in the network of urban grant institutions to disseminate results and other information on individual projects that can be generalized, replicated, and applied throughout the Nation.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1136f)

#### PART 637—MINORITY SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING IMPROVE-MENT PROGRAM

#### Subpart A—General

Sec.

637.1 What is the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program (MSEIP)?

637.2 Who is eligible to receive a grant?

637.3 What regulations apply to the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program?

637.4 What definitions apply to the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program?

#### Subpart B—What Kinds of Projects Does the Secretary Assist Under This Program?

637.11 What kinds of projects are supported by this program?

637.12 What are institutional projects?

637.13 What are design projects?

637.14 What are special projects? 637.15 What are cooperative projects?

## Subpart C—How Does One Apply for a

637.21 Application procedures.

# Subpart D—How Does the Secretary Make a Grant?

Grant?

637.31 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?

637.32 What selection criteria does the Secretary use?

### Subpart E—What Conditions Must Be Met by a Grantee?

637.41 What are the cost restrictions on design project grants?

AUTHORITY: 20~ U.S.C. 1067-1067c,~1067g-1067k,~1068,~1068b,~unless~otherwise~noted.

#### § 637.1

SOURCE: 46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, unless otherwise noted.

#### Subpart A—General

#### §637.1 What is the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program (MSEIP)?

The Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program (MSEIP) is designed to effect long-range improvement in science and engineering education at predominantly minority institutions, and to increase the flow of underrepresented ethnic minorities, particularly minority women, into scientific and technological careers.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067–1067c, 1067g–1067k, 1068, and 1068b, unless otherwise noted)

[65 FR 7674, Feb. 15, 2000]

### §637.2 Who is eligible to receive a grant?

The following are eligible to receive a grant under this part:

- (a) Public and private nonprofit institutions of higher education that—
- (1) Award baccalaureate degrees; and (2) Qualify as minority institutions as defined in §637.4.
- (b) Public or private nonprofit institutions of higher education that—
  - (1) Award associate degrees;
- (2) Qualify as minority institutions as defined in §637.4;
- (3) Have a curriculum that includes science or engineering subjects; and
- (4) Enter into a partnership with public or private nonprofit institutions of higher education that award baccalaureate degrees in science and engineering.
- (c) Nonprofit science-oriented organizations, professional scientific societies, and institutions of higher education that award baccalaureate degrees that—
- (1) Provide a needed service to a group of minority institutions; or
- (2) Provide in-service training to project directors, scientists, and engineers from minority institutions; or
- (d) A consortia of organizations, that provide needed services to one or more minority institutions. The consortia membership may include—
- (1) Institutions of higher education which have a curriculum in science or engineering;

(2) Institutions of higher education that have a graduate or professional program in science or engineering;

(3) Research laboratories of, or under the contract with, the Department of Energy;

(4) Private organizations that have science or engineering facilities; or

(5) Quasi-governmental entities that have a significant scientific or engineering mission.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067g) [65 FR 7674, Feb. 15, 2000]

# § 637.3 What regulations apply to the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program?

The following regulations apply to the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program:

(a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) as follows:

- (1) 34 CFR part 74 (Administration of Grants to Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Nonprofit Organizations).
- (2) 34 CFR part 75 (Direct Grant Programs).
- (3) 34 CFR part 77 (Definitions that Apply to Department Regulations).
- (4) 34 CFR part 79 (Intergovernmental Review of Department of Education Programs and Activities).
- (5) 34 CFR part 82 (New Restrictions on Lobbying).
- (6) 34 CFR part 85 (Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Non-procurement) and Governmentwide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)).
- (7) 34 CFR part 86 (Drug-Free Schools and Campuses).
- (b) The regulations in this part 637.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b, unless otherwise noted)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43544, Nov. 12, 1987; 57 FR 54302, Nov. 18, 1992; 65 FR 7675, Feb. 15, 2000]

# § 637.4 What definitions apply to the Minority Science and Engineering Improvement Program?

(a) *Definitions in EDGAR*. The following terms used in this part are defined in 34 CFR part 77.

Applicant Application Department **EDGAR** Grants Grantee Nonprofit Private Project Project period Secretary

(b) Definitions that apply to this part:

Accredited means currently certified by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or making satisfactory progress toward achieving accreditation.

Act means the Higher Education Act

of 1965, as amended.

Minority means American Indian, Alaskan Native, black (not of Hispanic origin), Hispanic (including persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, and Central or South American origin), Pacific Islander or other ethnic group underrepresented in science and engineering.

Minority institution means an accredited college or university whose enrollment of a single minority group or a combination of minority groups as defined in this section exceeds fifty percent of the total enrollment. The Secretary verifies this information from the data on enrollments (Higher Education General Information Surveys HEGIS XIII) furnished by the institution to the Office for Civil Rights, Department of Education.

Science means, for the purposes of this program, the biological, engineerphysical. mathematical, behavorial and social sciences, and the history and philosophy of science; also included are interdisciplinary fields which are comprised of overlapping areas among two or more sciences.

Underrepresented in science and engineering means a minority group whose number of scientists and engineers per 10,000 population of that group is substantially below the comparable figure for scientists and engineers who are white and not of Hispanic origin.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, 1068b)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1135b-1135b-3 and 1135d-

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43544, Nov. 12, 1987; 65 FR 7675, Feb. 15,

#### Subpart B—What Kinds of Projects Does the Secretary Assist **Under This Program?**

#### §637.11 What kinds of projects are supported by this program?

The Secretary awards grants under this program for all or some of the following categories of projects:

- (a) Institutional projects for implementing a comprehensive science improvement plan as described in §637.12.
- (b) Design projects for developing a long-range science improvement plan as described in §637.13.
- (c) Special projects to support activities as described in §637.14.
- (d) Cooperative projects to share facilities and personnel and disseminate information as described in §637.15.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1135b-2)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

#### §637.12 What institutional are projects?

- (a) Institutional project grants support the implementation of a comprehensive science improvement plan, which may include any combination of activities for improving the preparation of minority students, particularly minority women, for careers in science.
- (b) Activities that the Secretary may assist under an institutional project include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) Faculty development programs; or (2) Development of curriculum materials.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43545, Nov. 12, 1987; 57 FR 54302, Nov. 18, 1992]

#### §637.13 What are design projects?

- (a) Design project grants assist minority institutions that do not have their own appropriate resources or personnel to plan and develop long-range science improvement programs.
- (b) Activities that the Secretary may assist under a design project include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) Development of planning, management, and evaluation systems; and

#### § 637.14

(2) Improvement of institutional research or development offices.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43545, Nov. 12, 1987]

#### §637.14 What are special projects?

There are two types of special projects grants—

- (a) Special project grants for which minority institutions are eligible which support activities that—
- (1) Improve quality training in science and engineering at minority institutions: or
- (2) Enhance the minority institutions' general scientific research capabilities.
- (b) Special project grants for which all applicants are eligible which support activities that—
- (1) Provide a needed service to a group of eligible minority institutions; or
- (2) Provide in-service training for project directors, scientists, and engineers from eligible minority institutions.
- (c) Activities that the Secretary may assist under a special project include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (1) Advanced science seminars;
- (2) Science faculty workshops and conferences;
- (3) Faculty training to develop specific science research or education skills:
  - (4) Research in science education;
  - (5) Programs for visiting scientists;
- (6) Preparation of films or audio-visual materials in science;
- (7) Development of learning experiences in science beyond those normally available to minority undergraduate students, particularly minority women:
- (8) Development of pre-college enrichment activities in science; and
- (9) Any other activities designed to address specific barriers to the entry of minorities, particularly minority women, into science.
- (d) Minority institutions are eligible to apply for special projects of the type listed in paragraph (a) of this section. All applicants eligible for assistance under this program may apply for spe-

cial projects of the type listed in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43545, Nov. 12, 1987; 57 FR 54302, Nov. 18, 1992]

### § 637.15 What are cooperative projects?

- (a) Cooperative project grants assist groups of nonprofit accredited colleges and universities to work together to conduct a science improvement project.
- (b) Activities that the Secretary may fund under cooperative projects include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) Assisting institutions in sharing facilities and personnel;
- (2) Disseminating information about established programs in science and engineering;
- (3) Supporting cooperative efforts to strengthen the institutions' science and engineering programs; and
- (4) Carrying out a combination of any of the activities in paragraphs (c)(1)-(3) of this section.
- (c) Eligible applicants for cooperative projects are groups of nonprofit accredited colleges and universities whose primary fiscal agent is an eligible minority institution as defined in §637.4(b).

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

# Subpart C—How Does One Apply for a Grant?

#### § 637.21 Application procedures.

One applies for a grant under the procedures of EDGAR §§ 75.100 through 75.129.

# Subpart D—How Does the Secretary Make a Grant?

### § 637.31 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?

- (a) The Secretary evaluates an application on the basis of the criteria in \$637.32.
- (b) The Secretary awards up to 100 points for these criteria.

- (c) The maximum possible score of each criterion is indicated in parentheses.
- (d) The Secretary gives priority to applicants which have not previously received funding from the program and to previous grantees with a proven record of success, as well as to applications that contribute to achieving balance among funded projects with respect to:
  - (1) Geographic region;
  - (2) Academic discipline; and
  - (3) Project type.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 52 FR 43545, Nov. 12, 1987]

### § 637.32 What selection criteria does the Secretary use?

The Secretary evaluates applications using the following criteria:

- (a) *Plan of operation.* (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows the quality of the plan of operation for the project.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) Higher quality in the design of the
- (ii) An effective plan of management that insures proper and efficient administration of the project;
- (iii) A clear description of how the objectives of the project relate to the purpose of the program;
- (iv) The way the applicant plans to use its resources and personnel to achieve each objective; and
- (v) Methods of coordination. (See EDGAR 34 CFR 75.581)
- (b) *Quality of key personnel.* (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows the quality of the key personnel the applicant plans to use on the project.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) The qualifications of the project director (if one is to be used);
- (ii) The qualifications of each of the other key personnel to be used in the project:
- (iii) The time that each person referred to in paragraphs (b)(2) (i) and (ii) of this section plans to commit to the project.

- (iv) The extent to which the applicant, as part of its nondiscriminatory emloyment practices, encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have been traditionally underrepresented, such as members of a racial or ethnic minority groups, women, handicapped persons, and the elderly.
- (3) To determine the qualifications of a person, the Secretary considers evidence of past experience and training, in fields related to the objectives of the project, as well as other information that the applicant provides.
- (c) Budget and cost effectiveness. (5 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows that the project has an adequate budget and is cost effective.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) The budget for the project is adequate to support the project activities;
- (ii) Costs are reasonable in relation to the objective of the project.
- (d) Evaluation plan. (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows the quality of the evaluation plan for the project. (See EDGAR 34 CFR 75.590—Evaluation by the grantee; where applicable)
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows methods of evaluation that are appropriate for the project and, to the extent possible, are objective and produce data that are quantifiable.
- (e) Adequacy of resources. (5 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows that the applicant plans to devote adequate resources to the project.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) The facilities that the applicant plans to use are adequate; and
- (ii) The equipment and supplies that the applicant plans to use are adequate.

#### (Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1135b-1, 1135d-3)

(f) Identification of need for the project. (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows the identification of need for the project.

#### § 637.41

- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
  - (i) An adequate needs assessment;
- (ii) An identification of specific needs in science; and
- (iii) An involvement of appropriate individual, especially science faculty, in identifying the institutional needs.
- (g) Potential institutional impact of the project. (15 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the extent to which the proposed project gives evidence of potential for enhancing the institution's capacity for improving and maintaining quality science education for its minority students, particularly minority women.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) For an institutional or cooperative project, the extent to which both the established science education program(s) and the proposed project will expand or strengthen the established program(s) in relation to the identified needs; or
- (ii) For a design project, the extent to which realistic long-range science education improvement plans will be developed with the technical assistance provided under the project; or
- (iii) For a special project, the extent to which it addresses needs that have not been adequately addressed by an existing institutional science program or takes a particularly new and exemplary approach that has not been taken by any existing institutional science program.
- (h) Institutional commitment to the project. (15 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows that the applicant plans to continue the project activities when funding ceases.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) Adequate institutional commitment to absorb any after-the-grant burden initiated by the project;
- (ii) Adequate plans for continuation of project activities when funding ceases:
- (iii) Clear evidence of past institutional commitment to the provision of quality science programs for its minority students; and
- (iv) A local review statement signed by the chief executive officer of the in-

- stitution endorsing the project and indicating how the project will accelerate the attainment of the institutional goals in science.
- (i) Expected outcomes. (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the extent to which minority students, particularly minority women, will benefit from the project.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—
- (i) Expected outcomes likely to result in the accomplishment of the program goal;
- (ii) Educational value for science students; and
- (iii) Possibility of long-term benefits to minority students, faculty, or the institution.
- (j) Scientific and educational value of the proposed project. (10 points) (1) The Secretary reviews each application for information that shows its potential for contributions to science education.
- (2) The Secretary looks for information that shows—  $\,$
- (i) The relationship of the proposed project to the present state of science education:
- (ii) The use or development of effective techniques and approaches in science education; and
- (iii) Potential use of some aspects of the project at other institutions.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1840–0109)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)

[46 FR 51204, Oct. 16, 1981, as amended at 53 FR 49146, Dec. 6, 1988; 57 FR 54302, Nov. 18, 1992]

# Subpart E—What Conditions Must be Met by a Grantee?

# § 637.41 What are the cost restrictions on design project grants?

For design project grants funds may not be used to pay more than fifty percent of the academic year salaries of faculty members involved in the project.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1067-1067c, 1067g-1067k, 1068, and 1068b)